



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,120	11/30/2001	Marie-Christine Missana	P21742	8264
7055	7590 11/05/2002			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1941 ROLAN RESTON, VA	ID CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ISABELLA	, DAVID J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
		DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/980,120	MISSANA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, n ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<u>May 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 22-75 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-75</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>Sl⟨\ル</u> is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) 🔀 objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>≤\ি(</u>	$\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ is: a) \square approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner	·.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	l .	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	tage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application h	as been received.	,
Attachment(s)	as priority under 60 0.	33 123 and 01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	

Application/Control Number: 09/980,120

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 24,45,27,32,33,34,35,36,41,42,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,
55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,74 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first
paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in
such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the
inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed
invention. These claims are not consistent with the original claims as filed. The original
specification as filed does not support the claims as is now amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,120

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 22 is indefinite. Line 4 change "comprising" to -having--. Lines 8 and 9 are redundant.

Claim 23 fails to further define the prosthesis of claim 22. Claim 22 does not positively set forth the filling material in the body of the claim.

Claim 25 fails to define the first and second distances.

Claim 26 is redundant.

Claim 28 should be reworded as -no greater than 0.95-.

Claim 32 is meaningless.

Claim 33, see rejection to claim 32 supra.

Claim 34 fails to define the fourth and fifth distances.

Claim 35 is redundant.

Claim 37 is redundant.

Claim 41 is meaningless. What constitutes an overlap portion.

Claims 42-47, see rejection to claim 41 supra.

Claim 48, it is not clear what is being claimed. If the surface is concave, then it is curved.

Claim 49, see rejection to claim 48 supra.

Claim 50, see rejection to claim 48 supra.

Claim 51, it is not apparent how one is to determine a point that is farthest from the plane extending through the edge of the inner zone and the edge of the outer zone.

Claim 52, see rejection to claim 51 supra.

Claim 53, see rejection to claim 48 supra

Application/Control Number: 09/980,120

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 54, see rejection to claim 48 supra.

Claims 55-57, see rejection to claim 51 supra.

Claim 58, see rejection to claim 48 supra.

Claims 59-60, see rejection to claim 51 supra.

Claim 61, it is not clear what constitutes "another portion".

Claim 62 is meaningless.

Claim 73 is meaningless.

Claim 74, see rejection to claim 22 supra.

Claim 75, the nipple zone as defined is indefinite. It is not clear how each parts have different volumes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schweikhart (GB 2146692).

The claims attempt to define zones and distances obliquely. Since the claims fail to specifically locate the various zones, points and between edges, the examiner may interpret any zones, points and between edges that would meet the broadness of the claimed limitations. Since Schweikhart discloses a prosthesis having the angles as claimed, then the zones, points, planes and distances between various edges and arbitrary points are inherent in the structure of Schweikhart.

2720

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J Isabella whose telephone number is 703.308.3060. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on Mondays-Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0858.

dji November 4, 2002

> J. Isabella Primary Examiner

Page 5